

dry atmosphere moisture changes occur more slowly than in moist atmospheres.

4. There is apparently an optimum relative humidity for drying honey which is not 0 per cent relative humidity. This point was not determined exactly, but is apparently somewhere between 0 per cent and 20 per cent relative humidity.

5. While in the crystalline form honeys change moisture content more slowly than do liquid honeys, but when crystalline honey is exposed in a moist atmosphere there is a steady liquefaction of the dextrose crystals.

6. Liquid honey of 17.4 per cent water content is in equilibrium with the water

vapor in an atmosphere of 58 per cent relative humidity, while crystalline honey tends to attain equilibrium at a slightly higher relative humidity. For best honey storage conditions, the relative humidity of the atmosphere should not be over 60 per cent.

7. Moisture exchange will occur through the capping of honey sealed in the comb.

8. Dextrose is slightly hygroscopic and levulose highly hygroscopic. The hygroscopic qualities of honey are apparently due chiefly to the levulose content, although other ingredients play some part. —1-6-39.

LITERATURE CITED

- Browne, C. A. 1922. Moisture absorptive powers of different sugars and carbohydrates under varying conditions of atmospheric humidity. *Jour. Ind. and Eng. Chem.* 14: 712-4.
 Fabian, F. W., and R. I. Quinet. 1928. A study of the cause of honey fermentation. *Ag. Exp. Sta. Mich. St. Col. Tech. Bul.* 92.
 Lothrop, R. E. 1937. Retention of moisture in honey. *Am. Bee Jour.* 77: 281. June.
 Waters, R. 1933. Honey and atmospheric moisture. *New Zealand Jour.* Ag. 26: 105-07.

Comparative Value of Pollen and Pollen Substitutes

I. Bee Bread and Cottonseed Meal-Dry Skim Milk Mixture*

MYKOLA H. HAYDAK, † *University of Minnesota, St. Paul*

In the previous articles on the value of pollen substitutes (Haydak 1933, 1936, 1937) the author has paid attention almost exclusively to the question of whether any given food substance can replace pollen as a food for bees. Little emphasis has been placed on the exact comparative value of pollen and pollen substitutes studied, except in the first publication. From those investigations an impression might have been gained that those substances which bees can utilize as food are inferior to pollen as stored by the bees. One has to remember, however, that the control colonies in the previous experiments were given bee bread in a natural undiluted state, while the experimental colonies were given mixtures of the dry food and honey in proportion 1:4 to 1:7. Under such circumstances the qualitative and quantitative differences which were observed in the experiments might

have been due to the fact that the bees fed pollen actually consumed more food per volume intake than did the bees fed pollen substitutes and therefore showed a better physiological performance.

For an evaluation of the nutritive value of any food substance the food intake of the experimental animals must be equalized. In case of bees where any restriction in food brings a certain reaction of the colony as a whole (restriction of egg laying, change in the rate of brood rearing activity), such equalization may bring additional factors which may make very difficult the interpretation of the results obtained. Therefore it was decided to feed the experimental colonies *ad libitum*, but to equalize the percentage of food per volume intake. The amount of food given to the experimental colonies was noted.

METHODS.—The method and the procedure of the present experiment did not substantially differ from those described previously (Haydak 1937). It was found, however, that an addition of a laying queen during the first day simultaneously

* Paper No. 1664 of the Scientific Journal Series of the Minnesota Agriculture Experiment Station.

† Grateful acknowledgment is made to Dr. M. C. Tanquary and Dr. W. A. Riley for their interest in the work and helpful suggestions during the preparation of the manuscript.

with the second brushing of emerged bees into the experimental hive tends to quiet the bees and makes the whole procedure of an addition of new bees simple and easy. Young bees were not brushed directly into the hive, but into a glass container through a bee funnel, similar to that which is used in the bee package industry. The container with the bees was then weighed and the bees poured into the hive. In such a way the number of bees in the colonies was to a certain degree equalized.

Since it was established that the growth

experiment. Except for the percentage of mortality, only the average of two colonies was used. This was done because one colony in each group did not rear brood. One of the colonies fed bee bread-honey mixture did not start brood-rearing although for 27 days eggs were present in the cells. Bee bread of 1933 was used for the food mixture. Another colony which was simultaneously fed bee bread of the same age from the same jar started brood-rearing and carried it to completion. In this case the bee bread was mixed with commercial invert.

Table 1.—Composite results of feeding bee bread and cottonseed meal-dry skim milk mixture.

FOOD	TOTAL NUMBER OF BEES	DEVELOPMENT OF BEES (Weight of Thoraces in Milligrams)					PER- CENTAGE MORTAL- ITY	FOOD CONSUMP- TION IN GRAMS	NUMBER OF DAYS IN WHICH BROOD REARING STARTED AFTER QUEEN WAS ADDED	NUMBER OF SEALED CELLS AND LARVAE BEFORE SEALING	DRY WEIGHT OF EMERGING BEES IN MILLI- GRAMS
		Emerg- ing Bees	2 Days Old	4 Days Old	5 Days Old	8 Days Old					
Bee bread	8,459	9.1	11.4	12.0	13.0	13.3	25.6	666	13	321	15.3
Cottonseed meal- dry skim milk food	9,608	9.1	10.9	11.8	12.6	13.2	33.6	490	9	391	15.5

curves representing the increase in the dry weight and nitrogen content for adequate foods follow each other quite closely (Haydak 1937), only the dry weights of the thoraces of the experimental bees were ascertained.

Foods.—Clumps of bee bread as taken from the cells of a comb were used; 50 grams of bee bread were mixed with 20 cubic centimeters of water to make a paste; then 200 grams of honey were added and all the ingredients were thoroughly mixed in a mortar. Bee bread of 1933 (5 years old) and of 1937 (1 year old) was used in the experiment. Both samples were stored in a refrigerator.

Sifted cottonseed meal was mixed with dry skim milk (spray process) in the ratio 4:1 by weight. Forty grams of this mixture were mixed with 200 grams of honey, and 20 cubic centimeters of water were incorporated into the mixture. The dry weight of both foods was the same.

Foods were distributed to the cells of a comb and given to the experimental colonies in the usual manner. Three colonies were used for the testing of each food. One colony in each group received a dry food-commercial invert mixture (*Nulomoline* brand).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.—Table 1 gives a summary of the results of the

The cottonseed-commercial invert fed colony did not start brood-rearing, although for 21 days eggs were present in the colony. In both cases fertile queens whose good performances in the colonies have been known were used. It is hard to explain these fact on the basis of our present knowledge of bee life.

Examination of the table shows that there was practically no difference in the results obtained. The development of the bees proceeded normally. The behavior of all the colonies was about the same. The brood-rearing activity was started several days later in the pollen-fed colonies and the consumption of food in the latter was higher than that in the pollen substitute-fed colonies. However, the average mortality in the latter group was somewhat greater. In neither group did the brood cells form a compact mass. In this case pollen-fed colonies differed considerably from those in the preceding experiment when the sealed brood cells in the pollen-fed colonies formed a compact mass as under natural conditions (Haydak 1937). The young bees reared by the experimental colonies were of almost the same weight. However, their weights were lower than those of the young bees in the previous experiments.

When combs with sealed brood were re-

moved from the experimental colonies, the bees continued their brood-rearing activities without an interruption as they would do under normal conditions.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION.—A comparison has been made between the pollen as stored by bees in the cells and cottonseed meal-dry skim milk mixture. The food was prepared in such a manner as to make the ingestion of pollen and the food substance investigated equal per volume intake. Three colonies have been used for the testing of each food. One colony in

each group did not start to rear brood at all. No adequate explanation has been found for this phenomenon. The rest of the colonies started brood-rearing and carried it to completion.

The results of the experiment indicated that there was practically no difference in the nutritive value of the bee bread and the cottonseed meal-dry skim milk mixture as judged by the development of bees, number and quality of the bees reared and the mortality of the experimental bees.—1-6-39.

LITERATURE CITED

- Haydak, M. H. 1933. Der Nahrwert von Pollenerzatzstoffen bei Bienen. Arch. f. Bienenkunde, 14: 185-219.
 Haydak, M. H. 1936. Value of foods other than pollen in nutrition of the honeybee. JOUR. ECON. ENT. 29(5):870-7.
 Haydak, M. H. 1937. Further contribution to the study of pollen substitutes. JOUR. ECON. ENT. 30(4):637-42.

Variations in the Size of Wings of Some Massachusetts Honeybees

CLAUDE R. KELLOGG, A. E. ROBINSON, JR., WILLIAM H. MOSS and LEROY L. BLACKMER,*
Massachusetts State College, Amherst

That the wings of honeybees are very important factors in their success as honey gatherers and as pollinators is a self-evident fact, and any effort to improve the honeybee or to develop the New Bee, as suggested by Dr. Watson, should give these organs careful consideration. One of the first problems to be solved is whether or not there is a definite variation in the mean size of wing of different colonies and, if such a variation exists, to determine its extent.

With this problem in view, studies were made on 863 honeybees, taken from 17 different colonies in the apiary of Massachusetts State College: 207 Italian bees from five different hives, 309 Caucasian bees from six different hives and 347 Carniolan bees from six different hives. The length and width of the wings of each bee, taken from the right side, were measured with a micrometer eyepiece and the area of the wings found by multiplying the length by the width and taking one-half the result [Area = $L \times W$

/2] on the grounds that the wing is roughly a triangle in shape and that this formula would give approximately the area. While such figures are admittedly not exactly correct for the absolute area of each wing, areas of all wings are computed in the same manner and this makes possible a comparison between different colonies of bees which, after all, is what we desire.

An examination of the means of wing areas from the 17 colonies shows that there is a definite mean for wing size in each colony and that the means vary considerably, the greatest mean area of wing being 7.6 per cent greater than the smallest mean area of wing. It is interesting to note, although not necessarily significant, that both extremes of wing area are found among the Caucasian colonies.

While the number of colonies considered is insufficient to make possible any definite conclusions concerning comparative wing areas to be found in the three races, it might be noted that in this small series of colonies the Italian colonies varied the least (4.2 per cent), the Caucasian colonies varied the most (7.6 per

* Acknowledgment is gratefully made to Dr. C. E. Gordon, Head of the Department of Geology and Mineralogy, for securing the funds for the study, and to Dr. C. P. Alexander, Head of the Department of Zoology and Entomology, for his interest and aid in the work.